

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/171,236	07/13/1999	NORIO HAGA		7804
7	590 04/17/2002			
Joseph R. Keating, Esq. Keating & Beennett, LLP 10400 Eaton Place, Suite 312			EXAMINER	
			BRIER, JEFFERY A	
Fairfax,, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2672	
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		16				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/171,236	HAGA ET AL.				
' Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffery A. Brier	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 I</u>	February 2002 .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows						
closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24 and 26-35</u> is/are pending in th	e application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24 and 26-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		minor				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/	a)-(d) or (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/171,236

Art Unit: 2672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on 02/08/2002 amending claims 17, 20, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31 and 35 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 17-24 and 26-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cosman, U.S. Patent No. 5,651,104.

For independent claims 17, 24, 27, 28, 31 and 35:

Cosman describes overcoming the problem associated with polygon having oblique angles (col. 3 lines 2-6) similar to the problem applicants have stated with regard to the viewing angle of a virtual camera of a virtual soccer game where the lines of the filed (oblique polygons) become less visible as the camera's vertical angle is reduced. In Cosman the process of enhancing the thickness of the polygon line is the

Application/Control Number: 09/171,236

Art Unit: 2672

same as applicants rotation of a polygon line since the end results are the same and the process of supersampling the polygon effectively rotates texel data that would be thin to texel data that is thicker, thus, effectively rotating the line polygon.

For independent claim 20:

When the polygon is determined to be in view and its oblique is great then the process of Cosman will effectively adjust the camera angle to a value other than 0 degrees for the reason given above.

For independent claim 23:

When the polygon is determined to be in view and it is oblique Cosman's process effectively zooms the polygon for the reason given above.

# Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17, 20, 23, 24, 27, 28, 31 and 35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffery A. Brier whose telephone number is (703) 305-4723. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi, can be reached at (703) 305-4713).

\_ Application/Control Number: 09/171,236

Art Unit: 2672

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Jeffery A Brier Primary Examiner Art Unit 2672